

Remarks and Summary of Interview

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the telephone interview on April 1, 2009. During that conversation, the undersigned attorney proposed submission of this Supplemental Reply and Amendment and the examiner agreed to accept same on or before April 21, 2009. As noted during the interview, this Supplemental Reply is meant to address deficiencies in the claims as previously presented, including improper invocation of means-plus-function language. The Applicant has cancelled claims 1 through 39 and has submitted in this reply new claims 40 through 64. Additionally, Applicant has deleted paragraphs 1 through 39 of the written description. Applicant made detailed arguments relative to the prior art cited by the Examiner in the March 25, 2009 reply, and Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider those arguments in connection with the new claims presented in this Supplemental Reply. In view of these amendments, Applicant respectfully requests that all claims pass to allowance. Should the Examiner should have any additional questions or concerns regarding this matter, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided below.

Date: April 21, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/CAH/
Carl A. Hjort, III, Esq.
USPTO Reg. No. 56,934

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT
The Bilicki Law Firm, P.C.
1285 North Main St.
Jamestown, NY 14701
(716) 664-5600